

RICHARD T. MULLOY (Bar No. 199278)
richard.mulloy@dlapiper.com
EDWARD H. SIKORSKI (Bar No. 208576)
ed.sikorski@dlapiper.com
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
401 B Street, Suite 1700
San Diego, California 92101-4297
Telephone: 619.699.2700
Facsimile: 619.699.2701

AMY H. WALTERS (Bar No. 286022)
amy.walters@dlapiper.com
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
2000 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2215
Tel: 650.833.2000
Fax: 650.833.2001

Attorneys for Defendant
NIKE, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,
OAKLAND DIVISION

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

NIKE, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 17-cv-05931-YGR

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
PLAINTIFF CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.'S
COMPLAINTS**

Date: March 6, 2018
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 1, 4th Floor
Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

FITBIT, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 17-cv-05928-YGR

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

MOOV, INC.

Defendant.

CASE NO. 17-cv-05929-YGR

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

FOSSIL GROUP, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 17-cv-05933-YGR

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

TOMTOM, INC., et al.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 17-cv-05937-YGR

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOPRO, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 17-cv-05939-YGR

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

PANASONIC CORPORATION OF
NORTH AMERICA,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 17-cv-5941-YGR

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CANON U.S.A., INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 17-cv-5938-YGR

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

JK IMAGING LTD.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 17-cv-06881-YGR

1 Having considered Defendants NIKE, Inc. (“Nike”), Fitbit, Inc. (“Fitbit”), Moov, Inc.
2 d/b/a Moov Fitness Inc. (“Moov”), Fossil Group, Inc. (“Fossil”), Misfit Inc. (“Misfit”), TomTom,
3 Inc. (“TomTom”), GoPro, Inc. (“GoPro”), Canon U.S.A., Inc. (“Canon”), Panasonic Corporation
4 of North America (“Panasonic”), and JK Imaging Ltd. (“JK Imaging”) (collectively,
5 “Defendants”) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Cellspin Soft, Inc.’s Complaints (“Motion”), the
6 Motion is GRANTED. The claims of the Asserted Patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as
7 directed to ineligible subject matter, and Plaintiff accordingly has failed to state a claim upon
8 which relief can be granted. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff’s Complaints are
9 dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11
12
13 Dated: _____, 2018

YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
United States District Court Judge